

RECEIVED

CM-015

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address) Jacob A. Kalinski, Esq. (SBN 233709) SILVER, HADDEN, SILVER, WEXLER & LEVINE 1428 Second Street, Suite 200, PO BOX 218012 Santa Monica, CA 90407 TELEPHONE NO.: 310-393-1486 FAX NO. (Optional): 310-395-5801 E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): jkalinski@shslaborlaw.com ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiffs/Petitioners	FOR COURT USE ONLY 2012 NOV 19 PM 2:30
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Santa Clara STREET ADDRESS: 191 North First Street MAILING ADDRESS: 191 North First Street CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Jose, CA 95113 BRANCH NAME: Downtown Courthouse	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: San Jose Retired Employees Association, et al. DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: City of San Jose, et al.	CASE NUMBER: 1-12-CV-233660 JUDICIAL OFFICER: Honorable Patricia Lucas
NOTICE OF RELATED CASE	DEPT.: 2

Identify, in chronological order according to date of filing, all cases related to the case referenced above.

1. a. Title: San Jose Police Officers' Association v. City of San Jose  
 b. Case number: 1-12-CV-225926  
 c. Court:  same as above  
 other state or federal court (name and address):  
 d. Department: 2  
 e. Case type:  limited civil  unlimited civil  probate  family law  other (specify):  
 f. Filing date: June 6, 2012  
 g. Has this case been designated or determined as "complex?"  Yes  No  
 h. Relationship of this case to the case referenced above (check all that apply):  
 involves the same parties and is based on the same or similar claims.  
 arises from the same or substantially identical transactions, incidents, or events requiring the determination of the same or substantially identical questions of law or fact.  
 involves claims against, title to, possession of, or damages to the same property.  
 is likely for other reasons to require substantial duplication of judicial resources if heard by different judges.  
 Additional explanation is attached in attachment 1h  
 i. Status of case:  
 pending  
 dismissed  with  without prejudice  
 disposed of by judgment
2. a. Title: R. Sapien, et al. v. City of San Jose  
 b. Case number: 1-12-CV-225928  
 c. Court:  same as above  
 other state or federal court (name and address):  
 d. Department: 2

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: San Jose Retired Employees Association, et al.	CASE NUMBER: 1-12-CV-233660
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: City of San Jose, et al.	

2. (continued)

e. Case type:  limited civil  unlimited civil  probate  family law  other (specify):

f. Filing date: June 6, 2012

g. Has this case been designated or determined as "complex?"  Yes  No

h. Relationship of this case to the case referenced above (check all that apply):

- involves the same parties and is based on the same or similar claims.
- arises from the same or substantially identical transactions, incidents, or events requiring the determination of the same or substantially identical questions of law or fact.
- involves claims against, title to, possession of, or damages to the same property.
- is likely for other reasons to require substantial duplication of judicial resources if heard by different judges.
- Additional explanation is attached in attachment 2h

i. Status of case:

- pending
- dismissed  with  without prejudice
- disposed of by judgment

3. a. Title: T. Harris, et al., v. City of San Jose

b. Case number: 1-12-CV-226570

c. Court:  same as above

other state or federal court (name and address):

d. Department: 2

e. Case type:  limited civil  unlimited civil  probate  family law  other (specify):

f. Filing date: June 15, 2012

g. Has this case been designated or determined as "complex?"  Yes  No

h. Relationship of this case to the case referenced above (check all that apply):

- involves the same parties and is based on the same or similar claims.
- arises from the same or substantially identical transactions, incidents, or events requiring the determination of the same or substantially identical questions of law or fact.
- involves claims against, title to, possession of, or damages to the same property.
- is likely for other reasons to require substantial duplication of judicial resources if heard by different judges.
- Additional explanation is attached in attachment 3h

i. Status of case:


- pending
- dismissed  with  without prejudice
- disposed of by judgment

4.  Additional related cases are described in Attachment 4. Number of pages attached: 1

Date: November 14, 2012

Jacob A. Kalinski

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)



(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)

SHORT TITLE: San Jose Retired Employees Association v. City of San Jose	CASE NUMBER: 1-12-CV-233660
--	--------------------------------

ATTACHMENT (Number): 4

*(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)*

4. a. J. Mukhar, et al., v. City of San Jose; b. Case No. 1-12-CV-226574; c. Same court as above; d. Dept. 2; e. Unlimited Civil; f. Filed June 15, 2012; g. Not "complex"; h. Involves the same parties and is based on the same or similar claims and arises from the same or substantially identical transactions, incidents, or events requiring the determination of the same or substantially identical questions of law or fact; i. pending.

5. a. American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees, Local 101, et al., v. City of San Jose; b. Case No. 1-12-CV-227864; c. Same court as above; d. Dept. 2; e. Unlimited Civil; f. Filed July 5, 2012; g. Not "complex"; h. Involves the same parties and is based on the same or similar claims and rises from the same or substantially identical transactions, incidents, or events requiring the determination of the same or substantially identical questions of law or fact; i. pending.

*(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)*

Page \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_

*(Add pages as required)*

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE**

2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

3 I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18  
4 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1428 Second Street, P.O. Box 2161,  
5 Santa Monica, California 90407-2161.

6 On November 16, 2012, I served the foregoing document described as **NOTICE OF**  
7 **RELATED CASES** on the parties in this action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a  
8 sealed envelopes addressed as shown on the attached Service List.

9  **[By Mail]** I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing  
10 correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, on the same day that correspondence is  
11 placed for collection and mailing, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with  
12 postage thereon fully prepaid at Santa Monica, California, in the ordinary course of  
13 business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if  
14 postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit  
15 for mailing in affidavit.

16  **[By Personal Service - via Magnum Courier]** I caused the above document to be  
17 personally delivered to the party represented by an attorney. Delivery was made to the  
18 attorney or at the attorney's office by leaving the document, in an envelope or package  
19 clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served, with a receptionist or an individual in  
20 charge of the office.

21  **[By Electronic Mail]** I transmitted the document(s) to the addressee(s) via electronic  
22 mail at the address listed above.


23  **[By Facsimile Transmission]** I caused the above-referenced document to be transmitted  
24 to the named person(s) via facsimile transmission to the fax number(s) set forth above  
25 from a fax machine at (310) 395-5801.

26  **[By Overnight Mail - Federal Express]** I delivered said documents to an authorized  
27 courier or driver authorized to receive documents, in an envelope or package designated  
28 by the express service carrier with delivery fees paid or provided for, addressed to the  
person on whom it is to be served for delivery on the next business day.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above  
is true and correct.

Executed on November 16, 2012, at Santa Monica, California.

LISA L. HILL



SERVICE LIST

**LEAD:** *San Jose Police Officers' Association v. City Of San Jose.* 1-12-CV-225926

*R. Sapien, et al v. City of San Jose, et al.,* 1-12-CV-225928

*T. Harris, et al v. City of San Jose, et al.,* 1-12-CV-226570

*J. Mukhar, et al. v. City Of San Jose, et al.,* 1-12-CV-226574

*American Federation Of State, County, and Municipal Employees,*

*Local 101 v. City Of San Jose, et al.* 1-12-CV-227864

John McBride  
Christopher E. Platten  
Wylie McBride Platten & Renner  
2125 Canoas Garden Avenue, Suite 120,  
San Jose, CA 95125-2124

Arthur A Hartinger  
Meyers Nave Riback Silver et al ,  
555 12th Street, Suite 1500  
Oakland, CA 94607

Jeffrey R. Rieger  
Reed Smith LLP ,  
101 Second Street, Suite 1800,  
San Francisco, CA 94105-3659

Harvey L. Leiderman  
Reed Smith LLP ,  
101 Second Street, Suite 1800,  
San Francisco, CA 94105-3659

Jonathan Yank  
Carroll Burdick & McDonough LLP , 44  
Montgomery Street, Suite 400,  
San Francisco, CA 94104

Robert Hawkins, City Clerk  
City of San Jose  
200 East Santa Clara St.  
San Jose, CA 95113

Teague P. Paterson  
Beeson Taylor & Bodine APC  
Ross House, Suite 200  
483 Ninth Street  
Oakland, CA 94612

Board of Administration for The Federated  
City Employees Retirement System  
Department of Retirement Services  
1737 North First Street, Suite 580  
San Jose, CA 95112-4505